Treatment Summary

Alternative	Treatment Type	Number of Motorized equipment	Pros	Cons
Partial Pumping to Sioux Falls	Regional Service Agreement	3	 Least cost regionalization alternative Buy as capacity as needed 	 Maintain existing pond and potential odor issues Future sludge removal Expensive pumping charges Expensive capacity purchase
Complete Pumping to Sioux Falls	Regional Service Agreement	1	 Simplest treatment alternative Buy as capacity as needed Lowest overall capital cost 	Expensive pumping chargesExpensive capacity purchase
Sequencing Batch Reactor	Batch treatment	11	 Small foot print Equipment located inside Low capital cost High energy efficiency 	Unforgiving processMore difficult to operate and train personnel
Oxidation Ditch	Continuous flow	24	Forgiving processEasy to operateNo equalization	 Large foot print Equipment located outside Higher capital cost High energy consumption
Aeromod - SEQUOX	Continuous flow, sequenced aeration	5	 Small foot print Equipment located inside Easy to operate and maintain High energy efficiency 	First plant in South Dakota
SAGR	Continuous flow	3	Low capital and operating costEasy to operateLow maintenance	High energy consumptionBuried aeration pipesFuture sludge disposal

Cost Summary

Alternative	Capital Cost	Equivalent Annual
		Cost
Submerged Attach Growth (SAGR)	\$20,215,000	\$1,278,762
Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR)	\$19,220,000	\$1,433,940
Aero-Mod SEQUOX®	\$19,804,000	\$1,437,208
Partial Pumping to Sioux Falls	\$11,412,297	\$1,570,733
Oxidation Ditch	\$20,762,000	\$1,597,669
Complete Pumping to Sioux Falls	\$8,044,125	\$1,642,367

Least Cost Option

Cost to Customer 30-year

